lssue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
19.1 Intro	oduction	·		
19.1	19.1.1	Habitat-based contextual LNP Ecological Network maps in places bear little or no relation to the map of Habitats and Biodiversity plan as presented in the Background and Overview document. It is important that up-to-date evidence is used.	The council cannot control the detail of maps produced by external parties in documents prepared for a particular purpose. The Plan refers to the need to refer to up-to-date evidence and to work with the Council's partners in these matters.	No ameno
19.2	19.1.1	Herts Ecology support the view that the natural environment is one of the districts greatest resources.	Support noted and welcomed.	No ameno
19.2 Nat	ure Conserva	ation		
19.3	19.2	Should refer to the Hertfordshire Environmental Records Centre as the primary resource for ecological data.	Paragraph 19.2.2 can be expanded to add reference to HERC (and others as appropriate) and a new text box added which advises readers to look in the new bibliography where they can find more information and links to relevant guidance.	Amendme text box The Cou Hertfordsh as the prin the County Applicants of the Hert Hertfordsh Countrysic England, a partnershi proposals the natura conservati
19.4	19.2	Herts and Middlesex Wildlife Trust (HMWT) suggest there are a few documents that could be referenced: Gunnell, Murphy and Williams (2013) Designing for Biodiversity – A technical guide for	If all references are included there is a danger that the majority of this chapter will become no more than a reference guide to these other documents. It is important to ensure that the East Herts	Amendme Policy NE Links to websites p

#### ndment in response to this issue

#### ndment in response to this issue

#### nent to paragraph 19.2.2 and new

council will <u>continue to work with the</u> <u>shire Environmental Records Centre</u> <u>rimary resource for ecological data in</u> <u>nty.refer to the most up-to-date position</u> <u>its will be expected to seek the advice</u> <u>erts and Middlesex Wildlife Trust, the</u> <u>shire Environmental Records Centre,</u> <u>shire Ecology at the County Council,</u> <u>side Management Service, Natural</u> <u>, and other relevant local nature</u> <u>hips where appropriate, where</u> <u>ls affect or have the potential to affect</u> <u>ral environment and nature</u> <u>ation assets.</u>

o useful guides, documents and produced by these and other relevant tions can be found in the Bibliography ndix F.

#### nent to Text – New Text Box before IE1

o useful guides, documents and produced by these and other relevant

Issue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
		new and existing buildings. 2 <sup>nd</sup> ed. RIBA and BCT; RSPB (2013) Planning Naturally. Spatial planning with nature in mind: in the UK and beyond; TCPA and the Wildlife Trusts (2012) Planning for a Healthy Environment – Good practice guidance for green infrastructure and biodiversity.	<ul> <li>approach is the primary information. A new text box has been inserted (see row 19.4 above) that refers readers to these references in a new Bibliography.</li> <li>The RIBA and BCT guide can only be purchased so this will be made clear in the Bibliography in Appendix F</li> </ul>	organisati in Append
19.5	Table 19.1	Herts Ecology and HMWT note that the descriptions in table 19.1 are a little inaccurate and make suggestions to make them more accurate. Glossary definition at end of plan is correct.	Table 19.1 will be amended to elaborate this and to make the descriptions more accurate.	Amendm See propo
19.6	19.2.5	19.2.5 Should acknowledge that Broxbourne Woods also includes Bencroft Wood and Broxbourne Wood (Herts CC owned), Hoddesdon Wood and Wormley Wood (owned by Woodland Trust). All are within the National nature Reserve (NNR) and are Sites of Special Scientific Importance (SSSI's) and home too many rare and scarce Woodland Wildlife.	Paragraph 19.2.5 could be expanded to include these references.	Amendme The only N Hertfordsh district at The Natur woodlands many rare
19.7	19.2.7	The text should be amended to read 'Hertfordshire Local Wildlife Sites Partnership'.	Agreed.	Amendm Local Wild Hertfordsh which is a identificati
19.8	19.2.7	<ul> <li>The list of sites in paragraphs 19.2.3 to 19.2.7 need to clarify the difference between sites that are designated by Natural England or included in local lists or those listed as HMWT reserves. The HMWT reserves are all considered on an equal footing and recommend removing reference to four flagship reserves.</li> <li>Recommended wording 'There are also 14 Herts &amp; Middlesex Wildlife Trust Nature Reserves in the district, seven of which are SSSIs and one is a Local Nature Reserve (under the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949 as amended)'.</li> </ul>	Agreed. Paragraph 19.2.7 will be amended.	Amendme Local Wild by the Hel Partnersh the identif protection led and co Middlesex (WS) are wildlife in currently 5 covering 3 <del>currently 1</del> <u>Reserves</u>

ations can be found in the Bibliography

ment to Table 19.1 posed Table 19.1 in revised chapter

#### ment to paragraph 19.2.5

/ National Nature Reserve (NNR) in shire is located in the south of the at Broxbourne-<u>Hoddesdonpark</u> Woods. <u>ure Reserve contains several</u> ads of SSSI status, which are home to re and scarce woodland wildlife.

#### ment to paragraph 19.2.7

ildlife Sites are identified by the shire <u>Local</u> Wildlife Sites Partnership, a partnership approach to the ation....

#### ment to paragraph 19.2.7

ildlife Sites in the district are identified lertfordshire Local Wildlife Sites ship which is a partnership approach to tification, selection, assessment and on of Local Wildlife Sites in the County, coordinated by the Herts and ex Wildlife Trust. Local Wildlife Sites e considered to be of significance for n at least a district context. There are / 573 Wildlife Sites in the district g 3,462 hectares. There are also / 14 Herts and Middlesex Wildlife Trust es in the district, seven of which are nd one, Waterford Heath, is a Local

lssue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
		HMWT note the only site in East Herts which is known to be listed as a Local Nature Reserve by Natural England is Waterford Heath (also a HMWT nature reserve).		Nature Re the Nation Countrysic <del>district, ind Amwell</del> Balls Woo Kings Mea
19.9	19.2.8	HMWT recommend that the need to protect and restore ecological networks is made more prominent in the plan as a whole. Landscape-scale conservation and restoration recognises the importance of all sites including those in urban areas as contributing to wildlife and ecosystem services. It should be embedded in the Natural Environment policies.	Paragraph 19.2.8 could be expanded to include reference to the need to protect and enhance landscapes and non-designated sites.	Amendme Distinction hierarchy designate commens appropriat importanc wider ecol important enhance to especially designate significant ecological
19.10	NE1	Natural England appreciate the intention to give internationally and nationally designated sites the highest level of protection however NPPF states: 'Distinctions should be made between the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites so that protection is commensurate with their status and gives appropriate weight to their importance and the contribution that they make to wider ecological networks'.	Initial drafts of the policies did distinguish between sites of different status. However, it was considered that this diluted the strength of protection for sites of less status. These sites play an important role in the wider ecological network, not least because their number are far greater than higher status sites and they often contribute to the success of higher status sites. A new Policy could be created to refer to only non-designated sites of nature conservation importance.	New Polic New Polic Interest (N I. All prope biodiversit features th ecological II. Propose mitigation and comp landscapin
19.11	NE1	Herts Ecology states that a variety of site types are mentioned, though smaller sites that may be wildlife havens but which do not fall within these categories are not mentioned.	Additional text is to be added to paragraph 19.2.8. A new policy could be created to refer to non- designated sites.	New Polic New Polic Interest (N

Reserves (LNR) (<u>under as protected by</u> onal Parks and Access to the side Act, 1949<u>as amended</u>) <u>.in the</u> ncluding 4 Flagship Reserves:

bod

eads

<del>ids</del>

ment to paragraph 19.2.8

ons will be made between the y of international, national and locally ted sites so that protection is neurate with their status and fate weight will be given to their nee and the contribution they make to cological networks. It is however, nt that opportunities are taken to biodiversity wherever possible, ly in urban areas, as even nonted environments contribute ntly to the success of the wider cal network.

## licy NE2

icy NE2 Sites of Nature Conservation (Non-Designated)

posals should achieve a net gain in sity and avoid harm to, or the loss of that contribute to the local and wider al network.

esals will be expected to apply the on hierarchy of avoidance, mitigation opensation, and integrate planting and oing into the overall design.

# licy NE2

icy NE2 Sites of Nature Conservation (Non-Designated)

Issue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
				I. All prop
				biodiversit
				features the ecological
				coological
				II. Propos
				mitigation
				and comp
				landscapii
19.12	NE1	The Lee Valley Regional Park Authority support Policy NE1. They note that Amwell and Rye Meads	Support noted and welcomed.	No ameno
		SSSIs are identified as two of the Districts Flagship	Reference to Amwell and Rye Meads as flagship	
		Reserves. Both could face pressure from future	reserves has however been deleted on the advice	
		development.	of the Herts and Middlesex Wildlife Trust. Although	
			historically referred to as 'flagship reserves', all HMWT reserves are now considered on an equal	
			footing.	
19.13	NE1	HMWT and the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority	Agreed. Policy NE1 and NE2 amended to address	Amendm
		suggest that both Policy NE1 and NE2 should	this and other issues.	I. Dev
		require applicants to submit sufficient and up-to-		activity (e
		date info (ecological surveys by competent		with other
		ecologist) where habitat or features of potential value to the wildlife are affected. Where an		have a de
		applicant fails to provide sufficient information,		affects the permitted
		planning permission should be refused (in line with		there are
		NPPG para 008 and 016). Having adequate		need to sa
		information is necessary for the council to ensure		value of tl
		that its policy requirements and its statutory duty		the intern
		are met in terms of being able to assess whether		nature co
		net gains have been achieved.		required i
				<u>surveys u</u>
		The LVRPA stress the importance of carrying out		prior to th
		wildlife and habitat surveys in advance of		Where ins
		submitting applications.		will be ref
19.14	NE1	Natural England considers that there is an	Agreed. Policy NE1 amended to highlight the need	Amendm
	Part III	overemphasis on compensation. The Policy should	to avoid making such harm in the first place.	III. <del>In</del>
		be more in line with the measures included in		proposals
		Para. 152 of the NPPF: 'wherever possible,	The new text box before Policy NE1 refers readers	the natu

posals should achieve a net gain in sity and avoid harm to, or the loss of that contribute to the local and wider al network.

bals will be expected to apply the in hierarchy of avoidance, mitigation ipensation, and integrate planting and bing into the overall design.

ndment in response to this issue

## ment to Policy NE1

evelopment proposals, land use or (either individually or in combination er developments) which are likely to detrimental impact which adversely he integrity of a site, will not be ed unless it can be demonstrated that e reasons which clearly outweigh the safeguard the nature conservation the site, and any broader impacts on national, national, or local network of conservation assets. Evidence will be in the form of up-to-date ecological undertaken by a competent ecologist he submission of an application. nsufficient data is provided, permission efused.

### ment to Policy NE1 Part III

exceptional circumstances where ls are allowed which would damage ature conservation value of the

lssue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
		<ul> <li>alternative options which reduce or eliminate such impacts should be pursued. Where adverse impacts are unavoidable, measures to mitigate the impact should be considered. Where adequate mitigation measures are not possible, compensatory measures may be appropriate'.</li> <li>The policy should be more proactive in terms of seeking a net gain of biodiversity in line with Para. 9 of the NPPF 'pursuing sustainable development involves seeking positive improvements in the quality of the built, natural and historic environment includingmoving from a net loss of bio-diversity to achieving net gains for nature.'</li> <li>Herts Ecology state something similar: NE1 should include a Mitigation Hierarchy as outlined in NPPF. This considers: Information, Avoidance, Mitigation and Compensation. Where development cannot satisfy requirements planning permission should be refused (NPPF para 118).</li> </ul>	to the Bibliography where these references will be listed	Internationadverse inadverse inoccur ascompensationcomparableProposalsnature cpossible,eliminateWhere ameasuresconsideremeasurescompensationconsideremeasuresconsideremeasuresconsideremeasuresconsideremeasuresconsideremeasurescompensationprovidecompensationprovidecompensationorganisationin Append
19.15	NE1 + NE2	Herts Ecology note NE1 effectively duplicates NE2 by including reference to Priority Species and Habitats and the aspect of Policy on Species and Habitats.	Policy NE1 part II will be changed to refer to sites of international or national nature conservation importance rather than to priority species or habitats. Also in reference to the Herts Ecology comment on where proposals do not satisfy requirements they should be refused, this section of the Policy NE1 should make this clear.	Amendme II. Where site <u>of Inte</u> nature co affected to refused un to be satis
Species	and Habitats			1
19.16	19.3	Strongly welcomed by the HMWT	Support noted and welcomed	No ameno

onal, National or Local Site, any impact to designated sites should only as a last resort, and should be sated by replacement with a feature of able or higher ecological value. ls should avoid impacts on sites of conservation value and wherever alternative options which reduce or e such impacts should be pursued. adverse impacts are unavoidable, es to mitigate the impact should be red. Where adequate mitigation es are not possible, compensatory es may be appropriate. Such satory schemes should seek to a net gain for nature and the The -Council will consider the use of ns and/or planning obligations to <u>secure</u> appropriate mitigation/ sation.

### xt Box before Policy NE1

to useful guides, documents and s produced by these and other relevant ations can be found in the Bibliography ndix F.

#### ment to Policy NE1 Part II

re a priority species or habitat on the <u>nternational or National designation for</u> <u>conservation importance</u> is adversely by the proposals, <u>permission will be</u> <u>unless</u> the District Council is will need tisfied that: ...

#### ndment in response to this issue

Issue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
19.17	19.3	Swifts and other bird species need to be taken into account during development as they are present in every proposed housing location. We should ensure there is a space for nature.	This is related to the wider issue raised of needing to be more proactive in seeking net gains in biodiversity. Policy NE2 should be amended to make this proactive approach the priority rather than focussing on where harm could occur. Proposed amendment to paragraph 19.3.8 (renumbered 19.3.9)	Amendm See propo Amendm (renumbe 19.3.9 • Prov bats and
19.18	19.3.2	Herts Ecology note that 19.3.2 should include reference to role that maintaining and enhancing biodiversity plays in securing Ecosystem Services e.g. Pollination, hydrology, pest control etc.	Agreed. Paragraph 19.3.2 expanded to refer to these benefits.	Amendm Biodiversi of species habitat an an ecosys importanc contribute <u>securing I</u> <u>pollination</u> <u>example</u> .
19.19	19.3.3	The Environment Agency supports this paragraph.	Support noted and welcomed. Reference to habitats also added to paragraph (see 19.20).	No amen
19.20	19.3.3	Herts Ecology note 19.3.3 should refer to both priority species and habitats, as listed under S41 of the 2006 NERC Act.	Agreed. Paragraph 19.3.3 amended to make this clear.	Amendme Whilst pro (as listed Environme is importa enhanced habitats n developm
19.21	19.3.4	Herts Ecology support reference to the BAP but advise that this has now largely been replaced by the LNP strategies which develop the BAP further.	The BAP is still important in strategic terms but the Draft Plan should make more reference to the Local Nature Partnership in general. A new paragraph should be included to refer to the LNP.	Amendm 19.3.5 Th Partnersh Herts and Hertfordsh England h report on identifies

ment to Policy NE2 (now Policy NE3) posed chapter.

# ment to paragraph 19.3.8 pered 19.3.9)

ovision of roosting opportunities for <u>d birds</u>...

ment to paragraph 19.3.2 sity describes the number and variety es of plants and animals within a and also the diversity of habitats within ystem. Biodiversity has economic nce, adds to our quality of life and tes to local distinctiveness as well as <u>a Ecosystem Services such as</u> on, hydrology and pest control for

ndment in response to this issue

#### nent to paragraph 19.3.3

rotecting priority species and habitats d under Section 41 of the Natural ment and Rural Communities Act 2006) tant, if biodiversity is to be genuinely ed, the conservation of all wildlife and needs to be at the centre of ment and planning decision making. ment to text (new paragraph 19.3.5) the Hertfordshire Local Nature ship (LNP), working in conjunction with ad Middlesex Wildlife Trust, shire County Council and Natural have recently published an up-to-date n Hertfordshire's habitats which s areas where new habitats should be

lssue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
				created to network. T guiding pr natural en proposals document
19.22	19.3.5	The Environment Agency supports this paragraph.	Support noted and welcomed.	No ameno
19.23	19.3.5	Herts Ecology feel 19.3.5 should seek to plan for biodiversity at a landscape scale with the identification of local ecological networks, as promoted by Local Nature Partnership (LNP). Hertfordshire Ecological Networks document provides strong evidence-based approach to identifying ecological networks and a number of key habitats. The LNP is supported by	The proposed amendments to paragraph 19.2.8 above, address this issue. The current wording of paragraph 19.3.5 should be sufficient without repeating paragraph 19.2.8.	No ameno
19.24	19.3.5	The Environment Agency suggests adding reference to the Hertfordshire Local Nature Partnership's <i>'Planning for Biodiversity and the</i> <i>Natural Environment in Hertfordshire-Guiding</i> <i>Principles'</i> , as well as the Hertfordshire ecological networks mapping report. HMWT also recommend adding this to Section 19.4	As with paragraph 19.3.4 above, it is agreed that the Draft Plan should make more reference to the Local Nature Partnership in general and the guidance they produce as appropriate. The new text box before Policy NE1 refers readers to these documents that are listed in the new bibliography.	Amendme <u>19.3.5 The</u> <u>Partnershi</u> <u>Herts and</u> <u>Hertfordsh</u> <u>England h</u> <u>report on l</u> <u>identifies a</u> <u>created to</u> <u>network. T</u> <u>guiding pr</u> <u>natural en</u> <u>proposals</u> <u>document</u>
19.25	19.3.6	Badgers need to be added to the list.	Badgers are not on the England Biodiversity List of Habitats and Species of Importance.	
19.26	19.3.6	Herts Ecology feel 19.3.6 could include 'tests as required by the European Habitats Directive' to emphasise the point that this is a legal obligation and not simply a rigorous approach from LPA.	Paragraph 19.3.6 (renumbered 19.3.7) amended to add 'as required by the European Habitats Directive'.	Amendme (renumbe Where the Protected crested ne will apply

to support the wider ecological . The LNP has also produced a suite of principles to assist with planning for the environment. The Council will expect ls to be prepared in line with these nts.

#### ndment in response to this issue

#### ndment in response to this issue

ment to paragraph 19.3.5 the Hertfordshire Local Nature ship (LNP), working in conjunction with ad Middlesex Wildlife Trust, shire County Council and Natural have recently published an up-to-date in Hertfordshire's habitats which a areas where new habitats should be to support the wider ecological . The LNP has also produced a suite of principles to assist with planning for the environment. The Council will expect ls to be prepared in line with these nts. ndment in response to this issue

# nent to paragraph 19.3.6 pered 19.3.7)

here is evidence of European ed Species (EPS) such as bats, great newts, dormice or otters, the Council y the following three derogation tests

lssue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
				as require Birds Dire
19.27	19.3.7	The Environment Agency support this paragraph.	Support noted and welcomed.	No ameno
19.28	19.3.7	<ul> <li>HMWT welcome the focus on avoidance of harm, including habitat retention through site design.</li> <li>Recommend that wording be added to ensure mitigation is required where not possible to avoid impacts. Compensation for any residual impact e.g. new habitat creation or habitat restoration/enhancement on site or nearby should be as a last resort.</li> <li>Herts Ecology notes that if the 'Mitigation Hierarchy' is not referred to in Policy NE1, it should be referred to here.</li> </ul>	This is related to the wider issue raised of needing to be more proactive in seeking net gains in biodiversity. Policy NE2 should be amended to make this proactive approach the priority rather than focussing on where harm could occur. This approach is considered appropriate to add to the beginning of the chapter before the policies as it applies across each of the policies. However, Policy NE1 Part III may be seen as repetition.	19.2.9 authorities the conte means the gains in b by consid
19.29	19.3.8	HMWT suggest that Para. 19.3.7 be split to distinguish between avoidance and enhancement.	Paragraphs 19.3.7 and 19.3.8 (renumbered 19.3.8 and 19.3.9) amended accordingly.	Amendme 19.3.8 (rei 19.3.7- <u>8</u> no signific may be po benefits for creation o 19.3.8-9 T to provide by habitat not signific anticipated can be ac
19.30	19.3.8	<ul> <li>All developments should respond to available opportunities to provide enhancements for wildlife, whether or not significant adverse impacts are expected. Request that sentence amended to this affect.</li> <li>E.g. "There may be potential opportunities to provide new benefits for wildlife, for example by habitat creation or enhancement, whether or not significant harm to species or habitats is</li> </ul>	Renumbered paragraph 19.3.8 (renumbered 19.3.9) to be amended accordingly.	Amendme (renumbe 19.3.8–9 to provide by habita or not sign anticipate can be ac

red by the European Habitats and rectives:

#### ndment in response to this issue

ment to text (new paragraph 19.2.9) The NPPF requires local planning es to apply a mitigation hierarchy. In text of the natural environment this hat policies should seek to create net biodiversity, to avoid adverse impacts sidering alternative options, to use n measures where avoidance is not and as a last resort to use satory measures.

#### nent to paragraphs 19.3. 7 and enumbered 19.3.8 and 19.3.9)

.....site design. Where there may be icant harm to species or habitats there potential opportunities to provide new for wildlife, for example by habitat or enhancement....

There may be potential opportunities e new benefits for wildlife, for example at creation or enhancement, whether or ficant harm to species or habitats is ed. Examples of how enhancements chieved include:...

#### nent to paragraph 19.3.8 pered 19.3.9)

There may be potential opportunities le new benefits for wildlife, for example at creation or enhancement, whether gnificant harm to species or habitats is ed. Examples of how enhancements achieved include:...

lssue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
		anticipated. Examples of how enhancements could be achieved include":		
19.31	19.3.8	Herts Ecology suggest that Para. 19.3.8 should include the planting of wildflower grasslands and new orchards, as they provide excellent habitats for insects etc.	Paragraph 19.3.8 (renumbered 19.3.9) should be amended to add wildflower grasslands and orchards.	Amendme (renumbe 19.3.9 The provide m by habitat or not sign anticipated could be a grassland
19.32	19.3.8	The Environment Agency supports this paragraph.	Support noted and welcomed.	No ameno
19.33	19.3.9	The Environment Agency supports this paragraph.	Support noted and welcomed.	No ameno
19.34	19.3.9	Planning obligations should include green roofs, walls, natural planting, simple management.	The Design and Climate change policies already address these issues. The bullet list in renumbered paragraph 19.3.9 could include reference to green roof and walls.	Amendme (renumbe 19.3.9 The provide n by habitat or not sign anticipate could be a • Cont enhancing planting (
19.35	19.3.10	Herts Ecology suggest that Para. 19.3.10 could include Biodiversity Offsetting as a means of securing compensation.	This is referred to in the NPPF as a means of compensating the loss of features on-site and therefore needs to be incorporated in the text in paragraph19.3.10 (renumbered 19.3.11).	Amendme (renumbe 19.3.11 Co should be replacement the form o

# ment to paragraph 19.3.8 bered 19.3.9)

here may be potential opportunities to new benefits for wildlife, for example tat creation or enhancement, whether ignificant harm to species or habitats is ted. Examples of how enhancements e achieved include: ...

eation of orchards, wildflower

ndment in response to this issue

## ndment in response to this issue

# ment to paragraph 19.3.8 bered 19.3.9)

here may be potential opportunities to new benefits for wildlife, for example tat creation or enhancement, whether ignificant harm to species or habitats is ted. Examples of how enhancements e achieved include: ...

nnecting existing habitats and ng migratory routes with additional (including green roofs and walls and rich hedgerows) ...

# ment to paragraph 19.3.10 pered 19.3.11)

Compensation which in most cases be a last resort, involves creating new ment habitats either on-site or off-site in of biodiversity offsetting. However,

lssue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
				compensa an unacce
19.36	NE2	The Environment Agency supports this paragraph.	Support noted and welcomed.	No ameno
19.37	NE2	<ul> <li>HMWT and the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority suggest that both Policy NE1 and NE2 should require applicants to submit sufficient and up-to-date info (ecological surveys by competent ecologist) where habitat or features of potential value to the wildlife are affected. Where an applicant fails to provide sufficient information, planning permission should be refused (in line with NPPG Para. 008 and 016). Having adequate information is necessary for the council to ensure that its policy requirements and its statutory duty are met in terms of being able to assess whether net gains have been achieved.</li> <li>The LVRPA stress the importance of carrying out wildlife and habitat surveys in advance of submitting applications.</li> </ul>	Agreed. Policy NE1 and NE2 amended to address this and other issues.	Amendmo NE3) See Policy proposed
19.38	NE2 IV	Herts Ecology suggest that part 'IV' should refer to nature reserves rather than local nature reserves to prevent any confusion.	Policy NE2 (renumbered NE3) will change significantly to address this and other issues. Nature reserves has been added to the bullet list in Paragraph 19.3.8 (renumbered 19.3.9)	Amendme NE3) See Policy proposed Amendme (renumbe 19.3.9 • Creation and na
19.39	NE2	Herts Ecology note that reference should be made to the LPA's legal obligation concerning European Sites, protected sites and SSSIs. The Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) is currently updating its guidance. Which should be referred to, to the effect of ' <i>Updated guidance on</i>	This can be detailed in a new paragraph or text box. This should be linked to advice on the list of Species and Habitats of Principle Importance under the NERC Act.	Amendme 19.3.3) <u>A list of</u> <u>Importance</u> <u>Natural E</u>

sation for a lost habitat will not make ceptable development acceptable.

ndment in response to this issue

# ment to Policy NE2 (renumbered

icy NE2 (renumbered NE3) in d chapter.

# ment to Policy NE2 (renumbered

icy NE2 (renumbered NE3) in d chapter.

# ment to text (Para. 19.3.8 bered 19.3.9)

tion of orchards, wildflower grasslands nature reserves

# ment to text (new text box after

of Species and Habitats of Principle nce, as published in Section 41 of the Environment and Rural Communities

Issue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
		the legal obligations affecting local planning authorities and developers regarding European sites, protected species and SSSIs is being prepared by DEFRA and will replace the advice currently set out in Circular 06/05: Biodiversity and Geological Conservation'		Act2006spread-shhttp://publation/4958Governmelegal obligand landoand enharspecies aiMore inforGovernmewww.gov.The Hertsalso contaenvironmewww.hertsdo/plannir
19.40	NE2	Useful if term 'locally important biodiversity sites' could be defined.	New text could be added to the Glossary to provide a description.	Amendmo Locally Im smaller, is hedgerow designate wider ecol
Green Ir	nfrastructure			
19.41	19.4.3	The River Mimram, which is the best chalk stream north of the Thames, and a habitat rarer than the tropical rainforest is omitted from the list of local rivers.	This river was omitted in error and should be added to the list.	Amendme East Herts resource of of the Lee and Stort i landscape grassland and farmla
19.42	19.4.3	Herts Ecology support the overall approach to GI. However the Plan needs to acknowledge the integrated approach to planning and include what	This is partly contained within the Green Infrastructure Plan and the Plan makes new references to the LNP Ecological Networks	No ameno

6, can be viewed in the form of a sheet at: blications.naturalengland.org.uk/public 58719460769792?category=10002.

nent legislation exists which places ligations on Local Planning Authorities downers with regards to the protection ancement of European Sites, protected and Sites of Special Scientific Interest. formation can be viewed on the ment's document website at: v.uk.

ts and Middlesex Wildlife Trust website tains a useful list of relevant nental law at: rtswildlifetrust.org.uk/what-wehing/wildlife-and-law

## ment to Glossary

mportant Biodiversity Sites: Normally isolated sites, including trees, ws or ponds that may not be ted but make a contribution to local or cological networks.

#### ment to paragraph 19.4.3

rts has a rich green infrastructure e centred on the principal river valleys ee, <u>Mimram</u>, Beane, Quin, Rib, Ash rt in addition to a varied mosaic of pe and habitat types, such as nd, ancient and plantation woodland nland of importance to wildlife. **Indment in response to this issue** 

lssue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
		improvements are necessary and how they are to be sustained.	document. Each Policy in this chapter seeks to encourage an integrated approach to biodiversity and the wider ecological network, which is part of the function of Green Infrastructure.	
19.43	19.4	Herts Ecology note that land management is fundamental, local farming and development and maintenance of food economy is wholly missing from the plan in one of the county's most farming dominated districts.	The majority of these things are beyond the scope of planning policy. The Plan as a whole supports the rural economy and is proactive where it has some influence. Paragraph 19.4.3 references the importance of farmland in green infrastructure terms. Policy ED2 (renumbered ED3) supports agricultural activity and the diversification of farm holdings provided it supports the continued agricultural activity of the farm. However, these issues are more appropriately managed by central government policies on agricultural practices and permitted development rights.	No ameno
19.44	19.4.4	The Environment Agency supports this paragraph.	Support noted and welcomed.	No ameno
19.45	19.4.5	The Environment Agency supports this paragraph.	Support noted and welcomed.	No ameno
19.46	19.4.6	The Environment Agency supports this paragraph.	Support noted and welcomed.	No ameno
19.47	19.4.6	<ul> <li>Herts Ecology note applicants should be expected to seek advice of Hertfordshire Ecology which should be at the front of the list being funded by EHDC to provide an ecological advisory service to the District.</li> <li>Herts Ecology provides planning advice while the Environmental Records Centre holds, manages and develops ecological and biological records and information.</li> </ul>	Paragraph 19.4.6 should include reference to the renamed HERC and Herts Ecology.	Amendme (renumbe Applicants of the Her Hertfordsh Centre, <u>He</u> <u>Council</u> , C and, Natur nature par proposals enhance g conservati
19.48	19.4	The LVRPA suggest that supporting text to the Green Infrastructure policy should make reference to GreenArc.	A new paragraph could be added to highlight the role of landscapes within East Herts as part of the wider network and to bring in a reference to the GreenArc partnership.	Amendme paragraph 19.4.5 It is and lands wider netw

#### ndment in response to this issue

### ndment in response to this issue

### ndment in response to this issue

#### ndment in response to this issue

#### ment to paragraph 19.4.6 bered 19.4.7)

nts will be expected to seek the advice erts and Middlesex Wildlife Trust, the shire <u>Environmental</u>Biological Records <u>Hertfordshire Ecology at the County</u>

Countryside Management Service tural England, and other relevant local artnerships where appropriate, where Is affect or have the potential to green infrastructure and nature ation assets.

# ment to paragraphs 19.4.4 and new ph 19.4.5

t is important to remember that habitats discapes in East Herts are part of a etwork of green infrastructure that pays

Issue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
10.40				no heed to example, District for and other which is c Developm avoid hab opportunit ecological creation, r linking hal the landso
19.49	NE3	The Environment Agency support Policy NE3	Support noted and welcomed.	No amen
19.50	NE3	There needs to be sufficient Green infrastructure with housing growth. Communities will need to be designed to be water neutral, hard surfaces should also be minimised.	The Draft Plan contains a number of policies that seek to address these issues.	No amen
19.51	NE3	The LVRPA support Policy NE3, and endorse reference to Nature Improvement Areas.	Support noted and welcomed.	No amen
19.52	NE3	The LVRPA support the single policy for Green Infrastructure due to its complexity and where it refers to many different strands. The reference under Policy CC1 to Green Infrastructure is also endorsed.	Support noted and welcomed.	No amen
19.53	NE3	Canal and River Trust support the aims of this policy fully and welcome the recognition and support given to the Waterway network in East Herts.	Support noted and welcomed.	No amen
19.54	NE3	HMWT welcome Policy, in particular references to plans and programmes including Nature Improvement Areas, Living Landscapes and Catchment Management Plans.	Support noted and welcomed.	No amen
19.55	NE3 IId	Typo: ''complement''	Noted	Amendm NE4) (d) Con infrastruct or to

to local authority boundaries. For e, the woodland to the south of the forms part of the swathe of woodland er habitats that stretch around London, commonly known as the GreenArc. ment should therefore be planned to abitat loss and fragmentation, and hities should be sought to improve cal connectivity, including through the , restoration and enhancement of abitats and 'stepping stones' through scape.

ndment in response to this issue

## ment to Policy NE3 (renumbered

onsider the integration of green cture into proposals as an alternative <u>compliment</u> <u>complement</u> <u>'grey'</u>

Issue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
				infrastruct
General	1			
19.56	19	Support for protection of the natural environment. There is an issue with the clearance of sites before applications are submitted. This is a loophole that enables habitat destruction before it can be properly assessed. Where site clearance occurs there should be penalties.	Support noted and welcomed. The clearance of vegetation is not considered development. Once an application has been made conditions would normally be applied that seeks to retain vegetation subject to an appropriate landscaping scheme. However, if the clearance occurs before the application there are no conditions to be in breach of. Where clearance has occurred on sites where there are policy restrictions such as Tree Preservation Orders or other environmental designations, mechanisms do exist through various legislation to impose penalties and ensure replacement planting where necessary. This is a national issue of planning law and not something the Council can control.	No ameno
19.57	19	Development prevents biodiversity.	If done well, development has the potential to create biodiversity through gardens and green spaces which have a greater variety of species than ploughed farmland for example.	No ameno
19.58	19.2 (HERT4)	HERT4 proposal is a connection to the countryside it supports the valuable habitat at Waterford Heath. Any developments around the edge of town should include a 'woodland buffer' to protect views and constrain further development.	This comment will be considered further under Chapter 7: Hertford.	Amendme This will be

cture.

ndment in response to this issue

ndment in response to this issue

nent to Policy HERT4 be amended in due course.

Issue Number	Policy/ Paragraph Number	Issue	Officer Response	Proposed
			northern boundary.	
19.59	19.3	Natural England finds much encouragement in the emerging policies and commends the progress East Herts are making towards creating a sound Local Plan; however more progress was expected on the Habitats Regulations Assessments (HRA). The HRA is an important consideration and needs to be taken into account when allocating sites and numbers.	Support noted and welcomed. Concerns regarding the HRA are noted and ongoing discussions are taking place with Natural England and the consultants assisting the Council with this important piece of work. The HRA is dependent upon the cumulative impacts of development in neighbouring authority areas as well in East Herts.	No ameno
19.60	19.3	Land north of Ware is teaming with wildlife, deer's, foxes, hares and rabbits as well as birds particularly in the area around Moles Farm. Thought must be given to wildlife as well.	Noted.	No amen

## **Other Proposed Amendments**

Location/	Issue	Proposed Amendment
Paragraph/Policy		
Throughout the document	Members are concerned that there are too many references within the chapter, which detracts from the particular approach East Herts is promoting.	Amendment to Chapter References to guides and policy documents are to be stripped reference will be made to a list of useful guides and documents will be arranged by Chapter.
19.2.4	Reference should be added to refer to a new online tool produced by Natural England on assessing the likely impacts on SSSIs. A new text box has been added to the text before Policy NE1 which refers readers to the new Bibliography, where this link is included.	New Bibliography Appendix F           Natural England Impact Risk Zone Tool, designed to help local developers to assess whether a proposed development is likely www.naturalengland.org.uk/ourwork/planningdevelopment/imp

ed Amendment

endment in response to this issue

# endment in response to this issue

d out and instead one single nts within a new bibliography which

al planning authorities and ely to affect SSSIs. pactriskzonesgistoolfeature.aspx

19.2.4       19.2.7 	In October 2014 Natural England was incorporated into the gov.uk website and as such all links and references of documents have been changed. Therefore reference to the England Biodiversity List is now out of date. This reference should also be moved to the re-amble to Policy NE2 (renumbered NE3) The latest Annual Monitoring Report indicates a change in the number of Local Wildlife Sites and their size in hectares due to the re-classification of sites and alterations to boundaries. This up-to-date evidence should be included in the revised chapter.	Amendment to Policy NE2 (renumbered NE3) Part V. Proposals should avoid impacting on Species and Hak included in the England Biodiversity Listas published under se and Rural Communities Act 2006 (or as subsequently amende Amendment to text box after Para. 19.3.3 The England Biodiversity List can be viewed and downloaded Importance pages of the Natural England Website at: www.na and Habitats of Principle Importance, as published in Section Rural Communities Act 2006, can be viewed in the form of a s http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/49587194 Amendments to text (Paragraph 19.2.7) There are currently 573-544 Wildlife Sites in the district cove
Policy NE2 Species and Habitats (Renumbered NE3)	This Policy should be amended to reflect the hierarchy of mitigation and to be more proactive in encouraging enhancements i.e. to first seek gains in habitats and opportunities for biodiversity, to avoid harm, to mitigate where harm is unavoidable and to compensate where harm occurs.	Amendment to Policy NE2 (Renumbered NE3) See proposed Policy NE3 Species and Habitats

abitats of Principle Importance section 41 of the Natural Environment ded).

d from the Habitats and Species of naturalengland.org.uk A list of Species n 41 of the Natural Environment and spread-sheet at 9460769792?category=10002.

vering <del>3,462 <u>3,442</u> hectares...</del>